

Vierne and the Organ

by Rollin Smith

OUIS VIERNE (1870-1937), a student of Franck and Widor, was organist of Notre-Dame Cathedral for 37 years and Widor's successor as the organ's great symphonist. Vierne's autobiography is here translated to English, profusely illustrated and extensively annotated. Includes chapters on his American tour, recordings, reminiscences of his contemporaries, the organ symphonies, textual corrections of scores, and a thematic catalog of the organ works. 800+ pages 175+ illustrations, hardbound, \$69 to OHS members, \$76 to others



Historic Organs of Philadelphia 32 Historic Organs, Camden to Reading

Four CDs for the Price of Two \$29.95

Includes 48-page booklet with stoplists, photos, and histories of the organs

Shipping \$2.50 for entire order within the U. S. **Shipping outside** U. S.: \$2.50 + postage charged to your Visa or MasterCard; specify airmail or surface.

ORGAN HISTORICAL SOCIETY BOX 26811 RICHMOND, VA 23261

804-353-9226 FAX 804-353-9266

catalog@organsociety.org

VISIT
US
ON THE WEB
<AGOHQ.ORG>

DEAR UNCLE MAX

Send questions to Dear Uncle Max, AGO National Headquarters, 475 Riverside Dr., Suite 1260, New York, NY 10115.

Dealing successfully with personalities of all sorts is a very important part of being a church musician. From time to time, I have had to deal with challenging personalities and so far have had relatively good success. Some people have an innate ability at this task and some don't, but anyone could benefit from training. I would certainly welcome the opportunity to hone personality-management skills. Why is it that these skills are not integral to our academic training? Now and then, one finds a good workshop on the subject, but time restraints relegate it to being only dissemination of information; significant time (at least a semester or two) is needed in this area. Might I suggest that AGO Headquarters make more workshops available on this topic but also do whatever possible to encourage institutions offering organ and church music degrees to make this an integral part of the curriculum?

W.E., Va.

I am sure no one will challenge you on the centrality of the task of working with difficult personalities in the field of church music, or just working in church, period. One encounters every personality type, people from every stratum of economic and educational background; the goal is to love them all, bring out the best in them, and that ain't always so easy.

We have all watched incredibly talented young musicians come along from whom we have every right to expect great things and then, for all sorts of reasons, ego in the way, whatever, they make themselves unemployable by the church, which usually goes overboard to be patient. Sad. If you can't work with people, what do you do? Sort eggs into Grade A, B, C, small, medium, and large?

For all that, I have some difficulty (though I am not outright opposed to it) trying to imagine just where a course on personality-management skills belongs in, say, a music school curriculum. Courses of study are already jampacked at the undergraduate level. In music study there is so much to be got through and so many strictly musical

skills to be developed that liberal arts are pretty well shunted aside, though most study would include (at least as an elective) a course in psychology of some sort. My own priority for music students would be, give them as many fundamental musical skills as you can while in the school setting. That is, anything that requires a steady, pressured discipline to learn and which students are not apt to undertake on their own, either because of a lack of teachers, books, equipment, or self-discipline. The situation doesn't change at the graduate level. It gets worse. Think of all the sifting that will be required to sort out what is really important in the music of the 20th century? What new theoretical skills will need inclusion? Faculties argue these matters endlessly—good that they do and good that each gets one vote, which keeps things in some sort of balance.

My impression is that there are all sorts of workshops floating around if you want them. How to tell what is really good is more difficult. TV seems to have plenty of smallish men with square mustaches selling four cassettes that tell you how to get along in any business (all were multimillionaires at 16), as well as ladies (slightly aging) in sweeping skirts and flowing movements gliding between quasi-Greek columns pushing their books on interpersonal relations, each book, be it noted, having a supplement called Vitamins for Success.

You are 100% right that people have differing skills in this area, but I suspect that a self-awareness of how good or how poor one's skills are comes after one is in the field rather than during the college years. This is why I think your suggestion that AGO National Headquarters make something available is well worth considering. Perhaps you are the one to put a program together. I can easily envision the usefulness of a case study approach to be used at Guild chapter meetings: Fred Harumph has been singing a solo in his church since 1917, insists on continuing his "rights" though he can barely breath and automatically sings a minor third lower than whatever you play. Donna Bella, a retired Army officer, insists that all choir members wear the appropriate uniform of the day as determined by her-no jewelry, black stockings, and black shoes required; choir members are not permitted to display any mirth, even if it is the pastor who has told a good joke.

Real cases would need to be used for such a "what-would-you-do," "howwould-you-handle" set of exercises.

MAX B. MILLER, FAGO